

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr. Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF COMMUNITY SERVICE PUNISHMENT WITH OPEN PRISON IN INDIAN CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

AUTHORED BY - POONAM TAMRAKAR & ASHWANI KUMAR
LL.M, Department of Law, Dr. Harisingh Gour Central University, Sagar

Abstract

This paper presents a comparative analysis of community service punishment and open prison systems in the Indian criminal justice framework, with a focus on the recent integration of community service punishment into the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. While open prisons, in operation since 1836, aim to reform inmates within a less restrictive environment, community service punishment provides an alternative that allows offenders to remain within their communities, contributing positively to society. Both methods, rooted in reformatory justice, prioritize rehabilitation over punitive measures, sharing objectives of recidivism reduction, cost-effectiveness, and personal development. However, they differ in application, with community service punishment acting as a non-custodial option and open prisons offering conditional freedom in a structured setting. Despite the benefits of open prisons, challenges such as limited funding, outdated legislation, and inadequate facilities persist. The incorporation of community service punishment addresses some of these limitations, providing a flexible, economically viable option that aligns with restorative justice principles and mitigates the social stigma associated with imprisonment. Ultimately, the paper argues that the combined use of both approaches can enhance offender rehabilitation, alleviate prison overcrowding, and contribute to a more humane and effective correctional system in India.

KEYWORDS: Community Service Punishment, Open Prisons in India, Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023, Reformatory Justice, Restorative Justice Principles, Recidivism Reduction, Non-custodial Sentencing.

INTRODUCTION:

"SOCIETY MUST STRONGLY CONDEMN CRIME THROUGH PUNISHMENT, BUT BRUTAL DETERRENCE IS A FIENDISH FOLLY AND IS KIND OF A CRIME BY PUNISHMENT. IT FRIGHTENS NEVER REFINES; IT WOUNDS NEVER HEALS"

- Justice Krishna Iyer

Most of the laws that were made by the British in our country before independence are still in force today. According to time and need, amendments in such laws and creation of new laws have been done by our legislature. Recently, three major criminal laws that have governed the criminal justice system of India for nearly 150 years were replaced with new laws aimed at modernising the criminal justice process. After passing both houses of Parliament, these new laws received presidential assent on December 25, 2023, and came into effect on July 1, 2024.

Their main objective is to bring new changes in the criminal justice process of the country. The purpose of these laws is to bring about major changes and reforms in the criminal justice system in the country by including different types of crimes and their punishment. These laws are being made on the basis of the three basic principles of our Constitution - individual liberty, human rights and equal treatment of all. The purpose is not to punish like the old laws but to provide justice. Such justice which is in accordance with the concept of Indian justice. As it becomes clear from the name of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, which is going to replace the Indian Penal Code, 1860, that it focuses on justice rather than punishment.

Thus, we find that the punishment of community service has also been clearly included in the new laws. This is a commendable attempt at a reformative approach to deal with criminals. Community service is a type of punishment under the reformative principle of punishment.

But when we talk about the reformative approach of India then we find that we already have an open prison system as a reformative tool. Although open prisons are established under the reformative principle, understanding the purpose behind explicitly incorporating community service as a form of punishment requires a deeper comprehension of the similarities and distinctions between open prisons and community service punishment.

COMMUNITY SERVICE PUNISHMENT (MEANING AND DEFINITION):

Community service has been included as a form of punishment in the Indian Justice Code, 2023, which specifies six offences where community service is prescribed as punishment.

These offences are as follows:

- Section 202 Public servant unlawfully engaging in trade
- Section 209 Non-appearance in response to a proclamation under section 84 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023
- Section 226 Attempt to commit suicide to compel or restrain exercise of lawful power
- Section 303(2) Cases of theft where the value of the stolen property is less than five thousand rupees, and a person is convicted for the first time
- Section 355 Misconduct in public by a drunken person
- Section 356(2) Defamation

Whereas Section 23 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, provides a definition of “community service.”

"Community service" shall mean the work which the court may order a convict to perform as a form of punishment that benefits the community, for which he shall not be entitled to any remuneration.

Before these new laws, the legislature has acknowledged community service as a form of punishment and has incorporated it into certain legal provisions such as juvenile justice act 2015 and Motor vehicle act 1988.

Community service punishment is a legal penalty in which the court directs an individual to perform certain tasks for the benefit of the community without pay. Instead of serving time in jail or paying a fine, the offender participates in activities that benefit society, such as cleaning public spaces, taking part in environmental projects, working with non-profit organisations, or assisting in community projects. The purpose of this type of punishment is to rehabilitate the offender, reduce the burden on the prison system, and contribute positively to the community.

According to the case of Vishal S. Avtani vs. State of Gujarat, community service has several benefits. Offenders become valuable resources for the state government, administration, or non-governmental organisations. It provides offenders with a direct experience of the harm caused or that could have been caused by their actions, serving as a constructive means for them to amend their mistakes. It also offers them an opportunity to improve themselves and become more responsible.

Community service is an effective way to keep minor offenders from enduring prison conditions while simultaneously enabling them to contribute positively and restoratively to society. It protects such offenders from negative influences often encountered in prison from habitual criminals.

OPEN PRISON:

Open prisons are correctional facilities that differ significantly from traditional high-security jails. They are designed without the typical high walls, barbed wire, and armed guards. Instead, they prioritise inmate self-discipline, personal responsibility, and community involvement.

An open prison, also known as a minimum-security prison, open camp, or prison without bars, is a type of prison that is "open" in four respects: open for prisoners, meaning inmates are allowed to go to the market during the day but must return by evening; open in terms of security, meaning there are minimal escape precautions, such as no walls, bars, locks, or armed guards; open for organisation, meaning work is based on the inmates' sense of self-responsibility, self-discipline, and confidence; and open to the public, allowing people to visit the prison and meet with inmates. The level of rights granted to inmates, the nature of prison management, and the degree of freedom from physical restrictions (against escape) are the true measures of openness in an open prison.

The 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights stated that no one shall be subjected to cruel, inhuman, or degrading punishment. Under Section 24 of the All India Committee on Jail Reforms, it was agreed that prisoners who have satisfactorily served a certain portion of their sentence should be transferred to open-air camps to experience community life. These camps would have minimal security, and prisoners would work and earn wages.

The United Nations Standard Minimum Rules (SMR) for the Treatment of Prisoners were

adopted in 1955 and revised as the "Nelson Mandela Rules" in 2015. As a signatory to this document, India is committed to implementing these rules in its prison reforms.

The concept of the open prison system in India emerged in 1836 with the establishment of the first All India Jail Committee, although the results were unsatisfactory. Later, in 1956, the All-India Committee on Jail Reforms and the Mulla Committee emphasised this system.

In India, there are a total of 63 open prisons, with Rajasthan having the highest number at 29. Prisons are regulated by the Prisons Act of 1900, and each state follows its own manual and rules regarding prisons. Every state in India has its own prison laws.

This model is rooted in the reformatory theory of justice, which seeks to rehabilitate rather than punish offenders. The focus is on helping inmates transform into law-abiding citizens through education, skill development, and reintegration into society, promoting a more humane approach to corrections that encourages personal growth and social responsibility.

Ultimately, open prisons offer a means to alleviate overcrowding while providing a more rehabilitative environment for inmates, aiding their reintegration into society and reducing the strain on the traditional prison system. Similarly, community service as a form of punishment has been introduced in many countries with a similar objective. In the Indian criminal justice system, this approach is now expressly recognized.

LIMITATION OF OPEN PRISON: -

Open prisons face several limitations, including a lack of modernization and funding, leading to inadequate facilities and resources. Limited awareness and acceptance result in underutilization, and some prisoners become overly dependent on this environment, resisting reintegration. Staffing issues arise due to shortages in traditional jails, and outdated legislation, such as the Prisoners Act of 1894, limits open prisons' effectiveness. Employment opportunities are scarce due to remote locations, and many states lack facilities for female prisoners. Additionally, an opaque selection process may lead to perceived bias, while some critics argue that open prisons face security challenges and may not significantly reduce recidivism.

SIMILARITIES WITH COMMUNITY SERVICE PUNISHMENT

Community service punishment and open prisons share several similarities, especially in their approach to the rehabilitation and reintegration of offenders. Both methods are focused on rehabilitation rather than mere punishment. They aim to support individuals in reintegrating into society by addressing underlying issues such as substance abuse, lack of education, or unemployment. Additionally, both community service punishment and open prisons are less restrictive compared to traditional prisons. Open prisons allow inmates greater freedom of movement, often permitting them to work or study outside the facility during the day. Similarly, community service punishment enables offenders to remain within the community and fulfil their sentence through unpaid work, thus providing a more flexible and humane approach to serving a sentence.

Another key similarity lies in cost-effectiveness. Both options tend to be more economical compared to traditional incarceration. Administering community service sentences is relatively inexpensive, and the operational costs of open prisons are also lower than those of high-security facilities. Further, studies indicate that community service punishment and open prisons can contribute to reduced recidivism rates compared to traditional prison sentences. This is partly because both options emphasise rehabilitation and offer opportunities for offenders to maintain social connections and employment, factors that contribute to reducing reoffending.

Finally, both approaches foster personal development by offering opportunities for growth. Community service punishment often includes educational or vocational training components, which help offenders build skills that can aid in their reintegration. Similarly, open prisons may offer comparable programs to help inmates acquire skills and qualifications, supporting their personal and professional development. These shared attributes illustrate how community service punishment and open prisons both contribute positively to rehabilitating offenders and facilitating their reintegration into society.

DIFFERENCES:

It is suggested that a discussion is necessary on the system of open prisons already in place in India and community service as a punishment. The fundamental difference between the two is that while community service is an alternative to custodial punishment, open prisons are part of post-custodial reforms. The idea behind community service is not to confine the offender

within any perimeter but to engage in unpaid work of social importance to reduce the harm caused to society by the offender's actions. It also arguably works to remove the stigma associated with those who have served prison sentences and helps avoid other issues linked with incarceration, as previously discussed.

In open prisons, depending on the nature of the facility, the state has the added responsibility of providing either accommodation, employment, or both, which is a cumbersome and costly process. Unlike open prisons, community service does not require the government to establish physical infrastructure to house the offender and their family. Instead, the offender continues to live with their family and reports for the assigned work under the supervision of an appointed officer.

It is also worth noting that while each open prison has a different set of rules, most restrict the physical movement of inmates beyond a designated area, even if they allow them to leave the prison premises. Inmates are required to report for evening roll calls. Community service generally has no such detailed regulation, and the rules set forth are typically limited to reporting for duty and performing the specified service. In open prisons, the responsibility to find employment falls on the inmate, who may face difficulty due to their criminal status and the terms and conditions imposed as part of their sentence. In contrast, in community service punishment, the court expects offenders to work on projects recognized as socially beneficial.

Additionally, as many offenders come from disadvantaged backgrounds, community service can serve as a means of training and skill development, while open prison residents must largely rely on their existing skills to find employment outside the prison premises. Therefore, considering these relative advantages of community service over the open prison system, which is being adopted as an alternative to strict custodial imprisonment, it is argued that the time has come to reassess the viability of community service as a form of punishment in India.

NEED FOR COMMUNITY SERVICE PUNISHMENT DESPITE THE AVAILABILITY OF OPEN PRISONS:

Community service punishment can offer several advantages over open prisons, depending on the context and nature of the offence. Community service and open prisons serve different purposes and can complement each other rather than being mutually exclusive. There are

several reasons why community service punishment remains necessary even when open prisons are available. First, community integration is a key benefit, as community service allows offenders to live within their communities, maintaining their social relationships and responsibilities. This can be crucial for rehabilitation and reintegration into society, as offenders can continue working, attending school, and supporting their families.

Another advantage is cost efficiency. Community service is generally more economical than maintaining an open prison. The costs associated with monitoring community service are significantly lower than those for housing and feeding inmates in an open prison. Community service also fulfils a restorative justice purpose, benefiting the community by enabling offenders to contribute positively, such as by cleaning public spaces, assisting in community projects, or helping non-profit organisations. This approach helps repair harm caused by crime, fostering accountability and a sense of restitution among offenders.

Additionally, community service can reduce the social stigma offenders face. Those who serve community sentences may experience less stigma than those who spend time in prison, which is vital for their self-esteem and future opportunities, such as employment. Community service also provides flexibility, with the potential for customised sentences. It can be tailored to match an offender's skills and the needs of the community, creating a personalised approach that can more effectively address the root causes of criminal behaviour and help prevent reoffending.

Studies have shown that community service can be effective at reducing recidivism, especially for low-risk offenders. This effectiveness often stems from including rehabilitation and support elements that address underlying issues like substance abuse or mental health problems. While open prisons also have benefits, such as providing a less restrictive environment and opportunities for work and education, community service may be more suitable for some offenders. Particularly, those who pose a lower risk to society can greatly benefit from remaining integrated within their communities while fulfilling their sentence.

CONCLUSION

In India, community service is already acceptable as a form of bail and has now been included as a punishment in the BNS (Bail and Non-Custodial Sentencing) framework, making it a recognized corrective punishment. It offers an effective alternative to incarceration, helping reduce prison overcrowding and facilitating offenders' reintegration into society. With Indian

prisons operating at 131.4% occupancy in 2023, community service can make offenders useful, boost their self-esteem, and teach new skills—ultimately lowering recidivism. However, effective implementation faces challenges like insufficient monitoring, lack of a clear legal framework, and limited project availability.

For instance, in the Pune Porsche accident case, the juvenile offender was given a sentence of community service, sparking a debate on the appropriateness and effectiveness of this punishment. This case highlighted the need for clear guidelines and a robust monitoring mechanism. To effectively implement community service in India, the government would need to strengthen the legal framework, develop monitoring systems, and establish suitable projects for community service. These reforms would ease the burden on prisons and provide better rehabilitation opportunities for offenders, thus contributing to the improvement of the Indian criminal justice system.

In India's evolving criminal justice system, community service punishment and the open prison system serve complementary roles in promoting reformative justice. Community service, newly formalised in recent criminal laws, is a flexible, cost-effective alternative suited to minor offences, allowing offenders to remain integrated within their communities and give back to society. Open prisons, with their structured yet lenient environment, support the gradual reintegration of inmates, though they require significant resources for housing and supervision.

Both models reduce recidivism and emphasise rehabilitation over punitive confinement, aligning with India's constitutional values of human rights and equality. By thoughtfully combining community service and open prisons, India can create a justice system that prioritises social reintegration and community well-being, achieving a more humane and balanced approach to correction.

REFERENCES

BOOKS

- 📖 "Crime, Criminality and Criminal Justice" – **N.V. Paranjape**
- 📖 A comprehensive overview of criminal justice systems, discussing theories of punishment, reformative justice, and alternative sentencing.
- 📖 "Penology and Correctional Administration" – **K.D. Gaur**

- ✚ Explores various correctional models, including open prisons, and examines non-custodial sentencing options like community service in India.
- ✚ "Criminal Law and the Indian Penal Code" – **Ratanlal & Dhirajlal**
- ✚ Provides in-depth commentary on the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and examines recent changes in the Indian penal system, including community service.
- ✚ "Human Rights and Criminal Justice Administration in India" – **S.R. Sharma**
- ✚ This book focuses on the human rights aspects within correctional practices, including the open prison system and restorative justice.
- ✚ "Comparative Criminal Justice Systems" – **Reichel P.**
- ✚ Offers international perspectives on penal systems, including comparative studies of community service and open prisons across different countries.

ARTICLES

- ✚ Mitali Agarwal, "Beyond the Prison Bars: Contemplating Community Service in India," 12 NUJS L. Rev. 119 (2019)
- ✚ Discusses the concept of community service as a progressive sentencing approach in India.
- ✚ Anupama Kaushik & Nishant Sharma, "Human Rights of Prisoners: A Case Study of Sampooranand Open Prison, Sanganer, Rajasthan," Vol. 3 IJPOS 1 (2017)
- ✚ Analyzes human rights concerns within open prison systems with a case study on Sampooranand Open Prison.
- ✚ Pooja Gagan Jain v. State of Maharashtra, Pune Porsche Car Accident Case Highlights a high-profile application of community service as a sentence in India.
- ✚ "Community Service: A Progressive Approach to the Criminal Justice System," Live Law, July 30, 2023 Examines community service punishment as introduced in the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

ACTS

- ✚ Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023
- ✚ Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023
- ✚ Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, Act No. 2, Parliament Act, 2016 (India)
- ✚ Motor Vehicles (Amendment) Act, 2019 (Act No. 32 of 2019)
- ✚ Motor Vehicles Act, 1988UDHR

- ✚ The United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (the Nelson Mandela Rules)
- ✚ Mitali Agarwal, Beyond the Prison Bars: Contemplating Community Service in India, 12 NUJS L. Rev. 119, 126-127 (2019) (Last visited August 2, 2024).
- ✚ Anupama Kaushik & Nishant Sharma, Human Rights of Prisoners: A Case Study of Sampooranand Open Prison, Sanganer, Rajasthan, Vol. 3 IJPOS 1, 7 (2017) (Last visited August 2, 2024).
- ✚ "Community Service: A Progressive Approach to the Criminal Justice System," Live Law, July 30, 2023 (Last visited August 2, 2024).

CASES

- ✚ Vishal S. Avtani v. State of Gujarat, R/Writ Petition (PIL) No. 108/2020
- ✚ Pooja Gagan Jain v. State of Maharashtra, 2024 Live Law (Bombay) 398 (Pune Porsche Car Accident Case)
- ✚ Aparna Bhatt & Others v. State of Madhya Pradesh & Others, Supreme Court of India, Criminal Appeal No. 329 of 2021 Rama Murthy v. State of Karnataka case 1996,

WEBSITES

- ✚ <https://cjp.org.in/kya-bharat-mein-khuli-jail-se-vyaktigat-azaadi-mazboot-hogi/>
- ✚ <https://lawfoyer-in.translate.google.com/community-service-as-a-punishment-under-bns-provisions-theories-and-cases/? x tr sl=en& x tr tl=hi& x tr hl=hi& x tr pto=tc>
- ✚ <https://www.verdictum.in/columns/revolutionising-justice-emergence-of-community-service-punishment-in-the-indian-criminal-justice-system-1526844>
- ✚ <https://globcci.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/The-History-Development-of-Community-Penalties-in-Britain-2012.pdf>
- ✚ <https://criminal-justice.iresearchnet.com/criminal-justice-process/sentencing-and-punishment/community-service-and-alternative-sentences/>
- ✚ <https://humanrightlawreview.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/Analysing-the-significance-of-Community-Service-as-an-Alternative-form-of-Punishment.pdf>
- ✚ https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-51982-5_6
- ✚ <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chq038>
- ✚ <https://www.livelaw.in/lawschool/articles/community-service-bharatiya-nyaya-sanhita-indian-penal-code-juvenile-justice-act-national-crime-records-bureau-260813>

- [✚ https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/ProfessionalInterest/tokyorules.pdf](https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/ProfessionalInterest/tokyorules.pdf)
- [✚ https://www.oas.org/en/iachr/](https://www.oas.org/en/iachr/)
- [✚ https://www.unov.org/unov/en/unodc.html](https://www.unov.org/unov/en/unodc.html)

